

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/903,433	07/11/2001	Stephen L. Galbraith	043210-1396-00 8922		
23409 7	7590 12/05/2002				
	EST & FRIEDRICH,	EXAMINER			
100 E WISCO MILWAUKEE	NSIN AVENUE E, WI 53202		ROYAL, PAUL		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3611		
		DATE MAILED: 12/05/2002			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	n No.	Applicant(s)				
		09/903,433	3	GALBRAITH ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit				
		Paul Roya		3611				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHO THE M - Exten after: - If the - If NO - Failur - Any n	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION Sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CI SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory properties of the period for reply will, by eply received by the Office later than three months after the d patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no ever on. a reply within the statul eeriod will apply and will statute, cause the appli	nt, however, may a reply be tin tory minimum of thirty (30) day expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cation to become ABANDONE	nely filed  s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this commi	unication.			
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	11 July 2001 .						
2a)□	<u> </u>	This action is	non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Dispositi	on of Claims				!			
4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application.								
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are wit	hdrawn from cor	sideration.					
5) Claim(s) <u>18-20</u> is/are allowed.								
`	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,11 and 17</u> is/are rejected.							
	Claim(s) <u>2-10, and 12-16</u> is/are objected		i.					
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	and/or election re	equirement.					
Application Papers								
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on 11 July 2001 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.								
10)🔀	<del>*</del> · · ·		•		194			
111	Applicant may not request that any objection							
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.								
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.								
· —	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
_	* *	oreian priority un	der 35 ITS C. & 119(:	a)-(d) or (f)				
	13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).								
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).								
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.								
Attachmer								
2) 🔲 Notic	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9- mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper N			ry (PTO-413) Paper No(s). I Patent Application (PTO-1 nuation Sheet .				

Continuation of Attachment(s) 6). Other: Examiner's Reasons for allowance of claims 18-20.

Art Unit: 3611

### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Information Disclosure Statement

1. The information disclosure statement (IDS) submitted on 9 January 2002 is being considered by the Examiner.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

2. Claim 11 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Claim 11 recites the limitation ""seat pan portion" in lines 11, 12, and 16. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Note, the "seat pan portion" as recited in claim 17, line 2, would provide proper antecedent basis if used in the same fashion in claim 11.

## **Double Patenting**

3. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Art Unit: 3611

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

- 4. Claim 1 is rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claim 1 of U.S. Patent No. 6,390,220. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because each is essentially drawn to a motorcycle having a mounting member/bracket between the seat and the frame and further having a luggage rack.
- 5. Claim 11 is rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claim 8 of U.S. Patent No. 6,390,220. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because each is essentially drawn to a motorcycle having a mounting member/bracket between the seat and the frame and further having a backrest.
- 6. Claim 17 is rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claim 16 of U.S. Patent No. 6,390,220.

  Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because each is essentially drawn to a motorcycle having a mounting member/bracket between the seat and the frame and further having a luggage rack and a backrest.

Art Unit: 3611

### Allowable Subject Matter

- 7. Claims 2-10, 12-16 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 8. Claims 18-20 are allowed.
- 9. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance: the prior art does not show a Y-shaped mounting member for configured for use on the rear portion of a motorcycle having a generally arcuate top and bottom surface, a luggage rack mounting portion defining a vertical aperture in the rear of the mounting member and a backrest mounting portion defining a substantially horizontal aperture in the side surfaces of the mounting member.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

#### Conclusion

10. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Foulds teaches a motorcycle backrest and luggage rack. Clenet teaches a motorcycle carrier. Hanagan teaches a seat assembly for a cycle. Nakamura teaches a rear motorcycle carrier. Jefferson '757 teaches a motor cycle ice chest.

Jefferson '085. La Rose et al. teaches a luggage rack. Anderson et al.' 261 teaches a

Art Unit: 3611

motorcycle carrying tank. Fukunaga et al. teaches rear cowling for a motorcycle.

Hanagan teaches motorcycle seat. Reichert teaches a luggage rack. Galbraith et al.

'220 teaches a luggage rack.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Paul Royal whose telephone number is 703-308-8570. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lesley Morris can be reached on 703-308-0629. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9326 for regular communications and 703-872-9327 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1113.

P. Royal December 2, 2002 Paul Royal Examiner Art Unit 3611

Lesley D. Morris

Sp = A(36/1